What to Look for in Group Two Components to Groups Content - Subject matter or task - What most people attend to Process - Between people dynamics Dynamics Include MoraleFeeling tone Atmosphere Influence Participation Leadership struggles Conflict Competition Cooperation Here are some observation guidelines

Participation High participators Low participators Shifts in participation Reactions to silence - How are the silent treated? – How is their silence interpreted? Consent? Disagreement? Disinterest? - Fear? Other reactions? ■ Who talks to whom? - Any reasons for this? Who keeps the ball rolling? - Why? - Do you see any reason for this in the group's interactions Influence Influence and participation are not the same - Some speak little yet capture the attention - Others speak a lot but are ignored by the group Which members are high influence? Which members are low influence? - Is there a shift in influence? - Who shifts? Is there rivalry, struggle for power? - What effect does it have on other group members? - What currency do people build in the struggle?

Influence Styles

- There are both positive and negative influences
- Members can enlist support, cooperation, or alienation
- How members attempt to influence may be crucial

Influence Style Types

- Autocratic
 - Values imposition
 - Judgmentalism
 - Action blocking
 - Pushing organization
- Peacemaker
 - Support of others' decisions
 - Avoiding conflict
 - Deferential treatment
 - Negative feedback avoidance (only positive feedback)

- Laissez faire
 - Lack of involvement
 - Going along, but noncommittal
 - Withdrawn/uninvolved
 - Avoiding initiating activity
 - Mechanical participation
 - Response only when questioned
- Democratic
 - Inclusion
 - Expression without judgment
 - Open to feedback
 - Problem solving high emotional states

| | |
|------|--|
| | |
| | |

Decision-Making Procedures Groups tend to make decisions without considering the effects on various members. Two types of decision patterns Imposition of decisions Participatory decision-making

Decision-Making Observations

- Does anyone authorize themselves to make decisions without consultation?
 - What effect does it have?
- Does the group drift through topics?
 - Who does?
 - Is there a reason that it happens?
- Who supports others' suggestions?
 - Does it result in mutual decisions?
 - Is a vote called for?

Is there an attempt at consensus?
What effect does it have on the group?
Does anyone offer contributions that are ignored?
What effect does this have on the group?

| | | |
|------|------|--|

Task Functions These behaviors of group members and of the group as a whole are geared toward either getting the job done and accomplishing tasks or stalling Does anyone ask for or suggest ways to proceed or tackle problem? Does anyone summarize what was covered and what has gone on? Are facts, ideas, opinions, feelings, feedback or searching for alternatives given or asked for? Who keeps the group on target? - Who prevents topic jumping or tangents? Maintenance Functions This concerns group morale, harmonious working relations, and creates an atmosphere that enable each member to contribute maximally. Insures smooth and effective teamwork.

Who helps others get into the discussion (gate openers)? Who cuts off others or interrupts them (gate closers)? How well are members getting their ideas across? – Are some preoccupied? - Are there attempts to help others clarify ideas? How are ideas rejected? - How do members react when ideas are Do members attempt to support others when they reject their ideas? Group Atmosphere Atmospheres vary according to group preferences and group themes. But cooperative and productive groups get more done and are more cohesive. Who seems to prefer a friendly, congenial atmosphere? - Is there an attempt to suppress conflict or unpleasant feelings? Who seems to prefer conflict and disagreement? -Do any members provoke or annoy others? Are people involved, interested?

-Is atmosphere work, play, satisfaction, taking flight, sluggishness, etc.?

Membership Acceptance and inclusion are major concerns of members. Interaction patterns develop that give clues to degree and kind of membership. Is there subgrouping? Do some members always agree and support each other or consistently disagree/oppose others? Do some seem "outside" the groups? - Do some members seem to be "In"? - How are "outsiders" treated? Do members move in and out of the groups - Look at nonverbals - Under what conditions do they move in and out? Feelings Feelings are frequently generated by interactions. They are seldom talked about. Clues - Tone of voice - Facial expressions Gestures - Other nonverbals

| What signs of feelings do you observe?Do you see attempts to block expression of | |
|---|----------|
| feelings, particularly negative ones? – how is this done? | |
| – Does anyone do this consistently? | |
| | |
| | - |
| | <u> </u> |
| | |
| | • |
| Norms | - |
| Standards, ground rules, the group's morals are developed t control behavior of | |
| members. • Usually based on majority opinion of what | |
| SHOULD take place. | |
| Norms may be clear (explicit) or only sensed (implicit), or even operating below awareness level of the group. | |
| Some norms facilitate progress, some hinder it. | |
| minder it. | |
| | <u> </u> |
| | |
| | 1 |
| Are certain areas avoided? Who reinforces the avoidance? | |
| - Who refinitives the avoidance? - How do they do it? - Are members overly polite? | |
| - Are members overly polite? - Are only positive feelings expressed? - Do members agree too readily? | |
| – What happens when members disagree? | |
| Do you see norms operating about participation or kinds of questions allowed? Do mambars feel free to probe each others' feelings? The mambars feel free to probe each others' feelings? | |
| Do members feel free to probe each others' feelings? Are questions restricted to intellectual topics or outside events? | |
| | |